

MARKET STATISTICS

Exchange / Symbol	NYSE: ERN
Price:	\$2.55
Market Cap (mm):	\$544.6
Enterprise Value (mm):	\$767.5
Shares Outstanding (mm):	213.6
Insider Ownership (%):	84%
Volume (3 month avg.):	92,500
52-week Range:	\$1.15 - \$4.10
Industry:	Oil and Gas

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET

(\$mm, except per share data, @ 6/30/17)

(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
Cash & Cash Equivalent:	\$10.7
Cash/Share:	\$0.05
Debt:	\$233.5
Equity (Book Value):	(\$349.2)
Equity/Share:	(\$1.64)

CONDENSED INCOME STATEMENTS

(\$mm, except per share data)


FY - 12/31	Revenue	Income	Adj. EBITDA	EPS
FY14	\$53.8	(\$96.1)	(\$66.5)	(\$0.49)
FY15	\$68.4	(\$430.9)	(\$51.1)	(\$2.04)
Fy16	\$77.8	(\$142.4)	(\$40.5)	(\$0.67)
FY17E	\$89.8	(\$179.0)	\$3.4	(\$0.84)

LARGEST SHAREHOLDERS

Oltasho Nigeria Ltd.	116,108,833*
Public Investment Corp.	62,814,070
Dr. Kase Lukman Lawal	2,602,919
Latmol Investment Ltd.	1,515,927*
Babatunde Omidele	1,142,119
Heidi Wong	829,581
BlackRock, Inc.	661,093
Daniel Ogbonna	368,471

^{*} Shares controlled by Dr. Lawal under voting agreement

STOCK CHART





August 15, 2017

Laura S. Engel, CPA laura@stonegateinc.com 214-987-4121

COMPANY DESCRIPTION

Erin Energy Corporation is an independent oil and gas exploration and production company, originally founded in 1986 as Camaroon-American Company (CAMAC). The Company has an asset portfolio of five licenses located in three countries in Africa, covering approximately 1.5 million acres. The Company's asset portfolio includes production and exploration projects in offshore Nigeria, exploration licenses in offshore Ghana, and offshore/onshore licenses in Kenya. In The Gambia, Erin Energy has offshore licenses and a farm-out agreement with FAR Ltd. (AUX: FAR), an Australian-based oil and gas exploration company. Erin Energy Corporation trades on the New York Stock Exchange as well as the Johannesburg Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol ERN and is headquartered in Houston, Texas, with 74 full-time employees, 32 of which were employed in the U.S. and 42 in Africa as of year-end 2016.

SUMMARY

The next 6-12 months should provide some important catalysts for Erin Energy.

- ERN's current production is approximately 5,000 6,000 barrels of oil per day from its Oyo-8 well; exploration and production are currently concentrated in the Oyo Field located in oil mining leases (OML) 120/121 blocks offshore Nigeria.
- The Company is finalizing plans to commence drilling operations on its Oyo-9 well in Nigeria. The *Pacific Bora* drilling rig has arrived, and under the Company's agreement with Pacific Drilling (NYSE: PACD), drilling on Oyo-9 is scheduled to begin by the mid-August, with a targeted completion date of year-end 2017. The Oyo-9 well is expected to increase production of the Company's Oyo Field by 6,000 to 7,000 barrels of oil per day (BOPD).
- A planned tie back of the Company's Oyo-7 well to its floating production storage and offloading (FPSO) unit could add an additional 1,200-2,000 BOPD. These plans along with drilling operations beginning at Oyo-9 should more than double Erin Energy's production in the short term, providing additional cash flow.
- Located offshore of Nigeria, the Niger Delta system, where more than 40 billion barrels of oil have been discovered, is one of the largest tertiary deltas and most prolific hydrocarbon systems in the world. Erin Energy has 100% interest and ownership of OML 120/121 in the Western Niger Delta, where the ERN is planning to drill its development well and possibly, depending on financing and rig availability, a high-impact exploration well (Oyo NW).
- The Miocene formation, the geological sequence where the Company's prospects are located, is a recognized significant producing formation. Erin Energy's OML 120/121 blocks in the Miocene formation are surrounded by Chevron (NYSE: CVX), Exxon Mobil (NYSE: XOM), and Royal Dutch Shell (NYSE: RDS) fields that have produced a number of significant hydrocarbon (primarily oil-based) discoveries. The prospective drilling into the Miocene formation could be a significant game-changer for Erin Energy.
- The quality of the light, sweet oil that is produced in Nigeria is superior to standard Brent crude oil, and therefore, commands a \$1-\$2 premium to the price of Brent oil.
- Management is in the midst of pursuing multiple actions to address the Company's financial structure, including restructuring the debt, seeking deferment of debt, reducing operating costs, minimizing projected capital costs, pursuing additional public or private funding, and finding additional farming-out opportunities.
- Based on ERN's current reserves and our analysis of precedent transactions, we believe that the Company's share price could trade in the \$3 \$4 range, assuming that ERN continues to make progress on its capital structure, successfully sources funding as needed, and moves forward with the drilling of additional wells in the near-term. See pages 9 11 for detail.



BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Erin Energy Corporation is global exploration and production company that focuses on energy resources in Sub-Saharan Africa. The Company's operating subsidiaries include Erin Petroleum Nigeria Limited, Erin Energy Kenya Limited, Erin Energy Gambia Ltd., and Erin Energy Ghana Limited. The Company also conducts business through its majority shareholder, CAMAC Energy Holding Limited, and its affiliates, CAMAC Nigeria and Allied Energy Plc.

Exhibit 1: Erin Energy Operates in Three African Countries



*Kenya leases expired as of July 2017

Source: Company Reports

ERN's business strategy involves the exploration and development of high-potential assets through strategic partnerships with oil companies and indigenous local partners. The Company seeks to limit capital exposure by sharing risks and cost through farm-outs at various stages of exploration and development.

Erin Energy's exploration and production are currently concentrated in the Oyo Field wells located in OML 120 and 121 blocks offshore Nigeria. The Company's current production is approximately 5,000 – 6,000 barrels of oil per day from its Oyo-8 well. Drilling operations for the Oyo-9 development well located in offshore Nigeria are scheduled to begin mid-August (rig has arrived), with a planned completion date at the end of the calendar year. This operation has the potential to increase production in the Company's Oyo Field by 6,000 to 7,000 BOPD, which would double Erin Energy's current production.

Concurrent with the completion of Oyo-9, the Company could add another approximately 1,200-2,000 BOPD to their total production with a planned tie back of its Oyo-7 well to its floating production storage and offloading vessel, *Armada Perdana*. In addition, management is discussing the possibility of an extension of its drilling rig contract with Pacific Drilling to allow the drilling of one or two wells in the prolific Miocene geological zone, contingent on the availability of funding for ERN.

In February 2017, the Company entered into a three-year secured Pre-Export Finance Facility Agreement with The Mauritius Commercial Bank Limited for \$100 million. The Facility will fund the Company's planned drilling of the Oyo-9 well and the remedial work to Oyo-7. The Facility is supported by a guarantee from The Standard Bank of South Africa Limited.

ASSET PORTFOLIO

Erin's asset portfolio is comprised of five licenses in three countries (Nigeria, Kenya, and Ghana) encompassing an area of approximately 1.5 million acres. The Company currently has 3.6 million barrels of unrisked resources and 16 million barrels of net reserves designated as 2P.

Exhibit 2: Erin Energy's Asset Portfolio

NIGERIA

Offshore	Gross Acre	s Interest	Partner	Status	2P / 2C Contingent (mmboe)
OML 120	230,000	100%	-	Production	16.5 (2P) /
OML 121	217,000	100%	-	Exploration	43.3 (Uncertified 2C)

GAMBIA

Offshore	Gross Acres	Interest	Partner	Status	
Block A2	320,000	20%	FAR	Exploration	NA
Block A5	343,000	20%	FAR	Exploration	

GHANA

Offshore	Gross Acres	Interest	Partners	Status	
Expanded Shallow Water Tano Block	373,000	30% 30% 25% 15%	Ultramar Energy GNPC Base Energy	Exploration Appraisal	16.2 (Certified 2C) + 25.2 (yet to be certified)

Source: Company Reports



Nigeria

With a maximum crude oil production capacity of 2.5 million barrels per day, Nigeria ranks has Africa's largest producer of oil. The evolution of the Niger Delta has formed a nearly perfect petroleum system, with thick oil-prone Akata shale source rock lying beneath a succession of increasingly shallower facies in a sand-prone delta system. The weight of the thick delta rocks causes gravitational collapse on the underlying, over-pressured Akata shale. The result is structural traps in up-dip extensional and down-dip contractual systems.

According to the Society of Exploration Geophysicists (SEG), deepwater plays fall into four broad types of basins:

- (1) Basins with mobile substrates (salt, shale) fed by large rivers
- (2) Basins with mobile substrates fed by small rivers
- (3) Basins with nonmobile substrates fed by small rivers
- (4) Basins containing non-deepwater reservoirs

According to the SEG, 75% of the discoveries in deep water occur in the first two categories. Erin Energy's offshore Nigeria blocks fall within the parameters of these two categories. The blocks are located in the thick Akata mobile shale belt with mobile substrates (salt and Akata shale) fed by the Niger and Benue Rivers.


Nigeria is recognized for the high quality of its oil. The grade of Nigerian petroleum known as Bonny Light is in high demand, specifically by American and European refineries. Bonny Light is generally classified as "light" and "sweet" and is largely free of sulfur. The very low sulfur content makes it highly desirable for its low corrosiveness to refineries and the lower environmental impact of its byproducts. These characteristics enable sweet oil to command a premium of \$1 to \$2 per barrel over the Brent oil price. Nigeria is the largest producer of sweet oil in OPEC.

The Company has five prospects offshore Nigeria located in the OML 120 and 121 blocks that target P50 3.6 billion barrels of oil of unrisked recoverable prospective resources:

- G Prospect (targeted for drilling in 2017-2018)
- Ereng
- Oyo NW (targeted for drilling in 2017-2018)
- Prospect P
- Ewo Deep

OML 120/121 is located in a prolific oil producing area where Chevron, Exxon Mobil, and Royal Dutch Shell operate producing fields in the neighboring areas with some large production rates on a per well and a global scale basis.


Exhibit 3: OML 120/121 – Flanked by Giant Oil-producing Fields



Source: Company Reports

The 120 block is located just east of OML 133, which contains Exxon's giant Erha and Erha North fields. The Erha discovery was made in December 1999. Three appraisal wells were drilled subsequently and two wells confirmed the presence of oil in Miocene turbidite sands. The Erha field had estimated recoverable reserves of 495 million barrels of oil at the time of its discovery in 1999, and the Erha North field had estimated recoverable reserves of close to 200 million barrels of oil at the time of its discovery in 2004.

Exhibit 4: Erin Energy's Oyo Field in the 120 Block is near Exxon's Prolific Erha Field



Source: Company Reports

With the Miocene formation being offshore Nigeria's most prolific producing zone, the Company's geoscientists and engineers have identified multiple prospects lying below current production and in surrounding areas.



The Oyo Field - OML 120 contains the Oyo Field, which in 1995, became the first deepwater discovery in Nigeria. The field is located in 1,000 feet of water and is approximately 46 miles offshore. The Oyo Field began production in December 2009.

Oyo-8


Oyo-8 was drilled in August 2014 to a total depth of 6,059 feet and successfully encountered four oil and gas reservoirs with total gross hydrocarbon thickness of 112 feet. Following a temporary shut-in of the well, in May 2016, the Company utilized a light intervention vessel to complete well repair operations of Oyo-8. The well successfully resumed production, and it currently accounts for 5,000-6,000 BOPD.

Oyo-9

In early 2017, the Company, obtained financing to enable the execution of a drilling services contract with an offshore drilling company, Pacific Drilling, for use of the *Pacific Bora*, a sixth generation deepwater drill ship. The *Pacific Bora*, which will cost the Company a base operating rate of \$195,000 per day, can be used for both drilling and well completion.

The rig has arrived to drill the Oyo-9 well in the Oyo Field. The spud date (commencement of drilling) is projected for mid-August 2017, with production expected in the 6,000-7,000 range. Importantly, the well will be tied back to the existing infrastructure, which will significantly reduce costs and shorten the time to first production.

Exhibit 5: The Oyo-9 Well



Source: Company Reports

Oyo-7

In conjunction with the Oyo-9 project, Erin Energy plans to relocate and install a gas lift line to bring the Oyo-7 well back into production. In July 2016, well Oyo-7 was shut in due to high water production in the Oyo Field. The shut-in resulted in the loss of approximately 1,400 BOPD. We note that in Q4 2013, Oyo-7 drilling data confirmed the presence of hydrocarbons in the Miocene formation. This was the first time in the Oyo Field that a well had had successfully drilled into the deeper Miocene formation.

Combining the relocation of the gas lift line with the Oyo-9 subsea equipment installation is the most cost-effective means of accomplishing the Company's goal of increasing production in the Oyo Field. The expected production from Oyo-7 following repairs will be 1,200-2,000 BOPD, combined with the expected production from Oyo-9, should result in total field production of 12,000 to 13,500 barrels of oil per day—potentially doubling the Company's oil production.

The Company has the option to drill up to two additional wells with the *Pacific Bora*, subject to capital availability. If Erin Energy exercises its option to extend the contract with Pacific Drilling, the rig could be used to drill one or two offshore exploration prospects in the Miocene geological zone. The Company is currently exploring financing options that include current shareholders as well as potential outside investors. However, no official commitments have been made to the projects to date. If the Company is able to secure the additional funding, its drilling targets will be the G Prospect and Oyo Northwest.

G Prospect - The G Prospect is 850 – 900 meters underwater and has an estimated drill depth of 2,600 meters. Erin Energy's goal is to build an exploration well to tap the 160 million barrels of oil (DeGolyer and MacNaughton) in the Miocene formation. The G Prospect would be the first Miocene exploration well drilled on Erin Energy's 120 block. The principle objective of drilling an exploratory well in the G Prospect is to target the same Miocene-age sediments as those found in the Oyo-7 exploratory drilling objective. Capital requirements are estimated at approximately \$26 million. Assuming funding of the operation, the drilling would begin in the latter part of 2017.

Oyo Northwest - If funding is available, the Company plans to drill an exploration well that will tap into the Miocene formation at Oyo NW. The drilling would begin in the latter part of 2017, following Oyo-9 and using the same rig. The capital requirement of the project will be in the range of \$40 million. The Oyo NW is just nine kilometers from the Oyo Field that is currently producing. Additionally, the Company has existing infrastructure in place, which upon discovery, would substantially decrease the time to production. Erin recently contracted with Ikon Science for a geophysical study at Oyo NW, with results that showed significant interval stacked oil sands; management has detailed mean gross unrisked resources of 1.1 billion barrels of oil recoverable as well as 970 BCF gas as a result of the third-party quantitative interpretation study.

Ereng – Ereng, located in the southern part of OML 120, is another Miocene play with mean unrisked recoverable resources of 1.7 billion barrels of recoverable oil and up to 2.1 billion cubic feet of associated gas.



Ewo Deep – Ewo Deep is centrally located in the OML 120 block. According to an independent assessment from DeGolyer and MacNaughton, this prospect has approximately 340 million barrels of unrisked recoverable oil

P Prospect - The P Prospect is located near the western border of OML 121. Independent assessors DeGolyer and MacNaughton have estimated the prospect has approximately 253 million barrels of unrisked recoverable oil.

The Oyo Field wells are connected to the floating production, storage and offloading vessel, the *Armada Perdana*. This vessel, owned by Malaysian contractor Bumi Armada, has the capacity of 40,000 BOPD, and can store up to 1.1 million barrels of crude oil. The FPSO also has gas treatment and re-injection capabilities. The FPSO has been contracted for a period of seven years from January 2014.

Exhibit 6: The Armada Perdana FPSO



Source: Company Reports

Kenya

In Kenya, the Company has relinquished its offshore blocks (L27/28) due to the high costs and the risks involved, and Erin's onshore blocks recently expired; however, the Company is currently evaluating extension of the leases.

The Gambia

In March 2017, the Company announced it had entered a definitive farm-out agreement with FAR, Ltd., an oil and gas company listed on the Australian Securities Exchange. Per the agreement, FAR will acquire 80% interest and will operate the Company's offshore A2/A5 blocks in The Gambia, with Erin Energy retaining 20% working interest in the blocks. Additionally, FAR will fund Erin Energy through the first exploration well to be drilled in late 2018. FAR will pay a purchase price of \$5.18 million and will carry the Company's share of the costs (\$8.0 million) of exploration. These blocks (A2/A5) are on trend and nearby one of the oil industry's largest recent offshore discoveries.

Ghana

The Company is currently conducting geotechnical subsurface studies of 3-D seismic data of its Tano Shallow block. The Tano block contains three discovered fields: South Tano 1978, North Tano 1980 and West Tano 2000, with in-place oil volumes of 500 million barrels. The Company expects field operations to take place following the resolution of the Ghana-Cote d'Ivoire maritime border dispute arbitration occurring later this year.

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

The EIA June 2017 short-term energy outlook forecasts Brent spot prices to average \$53/B in 2017, rising to \$56/B in 2018. In 2017 and 2018, West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices are projected to average \$2/B less than Brent prices. Crude oil production is forecast to average 9.3 million BOPD in 2017 and 10.0 billion BOPD in 2018, which surpasses the 1970 record level of 9.6 million BOPD.

Exhibit 7: Price Summary and Projections

	2015	2016	2017	2018
WTI Crude Oil ^a (dollars per barrel)	48.67	43.33	50.78	53.61
Brent Crude Oil (dollars per barrel)	52.32	43.74	52.69	55.61

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA)

In late May 2017, OPEC announced the extension of the voluntary production cuts that were originally set to end in June. Through the end of Q1 2018, OPEC has indicated that the crude oil production target will remain unchanged at 32.5 million BOPD. In response, EIA lowered its oil production forecast slightly to average 32.3 million BOPD in 2017 and 32.8 BOPD in 2018. With lower OPEC production, the EIA anticipates that global oil inventories will decline by an average of nearly 0.2 million BOPD in 2017. If these inventory draws materialize, and gross U.S. refinery runs stay about 17 million BOPD, the EIA foresees a possibility for upward pressure on crude oil prices.



Several events appear encouraging for oil and gas production in Nigeria:

- Shell has lifted its force majeure on Bonny Light oil that was
 put in place following the sabotage; with the lifting of the
 force majeure, export of Bonny grade oil is set to reach
 226,000 barrels per day in August, up from 164,000 BOPD
 in July, according to the loading schedules.
- At the end of June, the militants in Nigeria announced they would be calling off the war on the oil infrastructure, which means Nigeria, exempt from the OPEC output cuts, can further increase its crude oil production.
- Oil services giant, Schlumberger (NYSE: SLE), just announced that it is providing more than \$700 million for the development cost of the Anyala and Madu fields in the Niger Delta under Oil Mining Licenses 83 and 85, offshore Nigeria.
- In response, the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) stated the development was part of its plan to grow Nigeria's crude oil reserves to 40 billion barrels by the year 2020.

RISKS

Debt levels – Erin Energy has a substantial level of debt. With the Q2 2017 balance sheet showing current liabilities of \$325.1 million and current assets of \$44.5 million, the Company's working capital deficit is \$280.7 million. Management has indicated publicly that it is pursuing multiple actions including restructuring the debt with lenders (e.g. converting debt to equity), seeking deferment of debt, reducing operating costs, minimizing projected capital costs for the upcoming exploration and development campaign, pursuing additional public or private funding, and finding additional farming-out opportunities. Additionally, the Company is interviewing investment advisors to assist in potentially restructuring/reducing the debt. However, these efforts may not be successful.

Environmental and government regulation – Federal, state and international laws and regulations relating to the release of materials into the environment and the disposal of wastes from oil and gas production may affect the Company's business and increase costs.

Price volatility - Prices for crude oil sales have historically been unpredictable and volatile, and that volatility is expected to continue.

Political and economic instability – The country of Nigeria has historically experienced instability. The Company's business may be adversely affected by changes in political and economic conditions in Nigeria, or terrorist attacks.

Third-party storage and transportation – Erin Energy relies on third parties to store and transport oil and gas, which exposes the Company to price changes and contract changes that could adversely affect its business. Recently, Malaysian contractor Bumi Armada, owner of the vessel *Armada Perdana*, unexpectedly shut down Oyo production, citing payment issues; per management, production has resumed, and the matter is being negotiated further towards resolution.

Future funding - The implementation of programs in Erin Energy's business plan may be delayed, scaled back or eliminated if the Company is unable to obtain sufficient financing. The Company may also opt to license commercialization rights to third parties for assets that it would otherwise have developed itself. Additional funding may also lead to shareholder dilution.

Reserve estimates – Reserve estimates in the oil industry, particularly for new discoveries, are inherently imprecise. Inaccuracies in assumptions could affect the estimated quantities.

Management turnover – In February 2017, Babatunde Omidele resigned from his CEO position as well as his Board membership; the Company appointed an interim CEO (Erin's general counsel Jean-Michel Malek) to serve while the Board conducted a search for a permanent replacement. Just recently, Femi Ayoade was named to the CEO position (previously VP, Production Operations and Country Manager, Nigeria). Since that placement, Mr. Malek as well as the Company's SVP and CFO Daniel Ogbonna have both left ERN as well. The departure of ERN's C-level executives could cause disruptions or delays to operational plans moving forward as the searches are on-going.

We do note, however, that Frank Ingriselli was recently elected as the non-executive Chairman of Erin. Mr. Ingriselli was the original founder of the predecessor company to Erin, and in his first public comments on his role, he indicated that he will focus on financial and investor relation matters in order to grow shareholder value.

Change of control — As most recently reported in the Company's 10Q, in April 2017, 116M shares previously held by Allied Energy Plc. were transferred to Oltasho Nigeria, Ltd. representing 53.9% of ERN shares outstanding. As such, the transaction represented a change in control of the Company. However, in July 2017, Oltasho signed a 10-year voting agreement with Dr. Kase Lawal, the Company's former Chairman and CEO, authorizing Dr. Lawal to vote the foreclosed shares at future meetings and via proxy. As such, the voting agreement represented another change in control of the Company.



Erin Energy Corporation (AMEX: ERN)

BALANCE SHEETS

A 0077770	****	***		Q1	Q
ASSETS	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Mar-17	Jun-1
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	25.1	8.4	7.2	8.5	10.7
Accounts receivable - trade	-	1.0	- 1	5.2	-
Accounts receivable - related party	0.6	1.2	2.0	2.4	2.4
Accounts receivable-other	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Crude oil inventory	1.1	4.8	9.4	3.9	8.9
Prepaid and other current assets	2.9	0.7	0.9	2.5	4.0
Restricted cash	1.5	8.7	2.6	20.0	12.0
Accounts receivable - partners	0.5	0.3	0.7	1.1	5.
Total Current Assets	31.8	25.0	22.7	43.6	44.
Oil & gas properties, net	595.3	368.9	265.7	243.1	145.
Other PPE	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.
Debt issuance costs	1.3	-	-	-	-
Restricted cash	8.9	-	-	-	-
Other assets					
Other assets	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-
Total Assets	\$ 638.4	\$ 395.2	\$ 289.2	\$ 287.4	\$ 190.
Total Assets					\$ 190 .
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					\$ 190.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities	\$ 638.4	\$ 395.2	\$ 289.2	\$ 287.4	
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$ 395.2	\$ 289.2		249.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1	\$ 289.2	\$ 287.4	\$ 190. 249. 32
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net	\$ 638.4 108.0	\$ 395.2	\$ 289.2	\$ 287.4	249.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0	249. 32 41.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0	249. 32 41.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0	249. 32 41.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations Derivative liability Total Current Liabilities	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2 12.7 -	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1 96.6 -	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5 12.6 -	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0 0.8	249. 32 41.
Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations Derivative liability Total Current Liabilities Long-Term Liabilities	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2 12.7 - 136.3	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1 96.6 -	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5 12.6 - - 287.1	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0 0.8 321.0	249. 32 41. 0
Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations Derivative liabilities Long-Term Liabilities Long-term notes payable - related party	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2 12.7 - 136.3	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1 96.6 - - 339.8	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5 12.6 - - 287.1	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0 0.8 321.0	249. 32 41. 0 325.
Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations Derivative liability Total Current Liabilities Long-Term Liabilities Long-term notes payable - related party Long-term debt, net	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2 12.7 - 136.3 61.2 93.0	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1 96.6 -	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5 12.6 - - 287.1	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0 0.8 321.0	249. 32 41. 0
Current Liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities - related party Current portion of long-term debt, net Asset retirement obligations Derivative liabilities Long-Term Liabilities Long-term notes payable - related party	\$ 638.4 108.0 9.4 6.2 12.7 - 136.3	\$ 395.2 213.1 30.1 96.6 - - 339.8	\$ 289.2 245.0 29.5 12.6 - - 287.1	\$ 287.4 247.2 31.0 42.0 0.0 0.8 321.0	249. 32 41 0 325

Ratios					
<u>Liquidity</u> Current Ratio Quick Ratio Working Capital	\$ 0.2x 0.2x (104.5)	\$ 0.1x 0.0x (314.8)	\$ 0.1x 0.0x (264.4)	\$ 0.1x 0.1x (277.5)	\$ 0.1x 0.0x (280.7)
<u>Leverage</u> Debt To Equity Debt To Capital	48.0% 32.4%	-254.0% 164.9%	-96.5% -2797.9%	-94.2% -1619.0%	-66.9% -201.8%

0.2

0.8

(85.3)

395.2

789.6

(875.9)

0.2

(0.2)

0.7

793.0

(1,018.3)

(224.6)

289.2

0.2

(0.9)

0.7

793.9

(1,044.8)

(250.8)

287.4

0.2

0.7

778.1

(445.0)

334.0

638.4

Source: Company Reports, Stonegate Capital Partners

Stockholders' Equity Common stock

Additional paid-in capital

Treasury stock

Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)

Non-controlling interest in consolidated subsidiary

Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

0.2

794.2

(0.9)

0.7

(1,143.4)

(349.2)

190.9



INCOME STATEMENTS

Erin Energy Corporation (AMEX: ERN)
Consolidated Statements of Income (in millions \$, except per share amounts)
Fiscal Year: December

					Low	Mid	High
n.	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 E	FY 2018 E	FY 2018 E	FY 2018
Revenues		φ (0.		φ 0-0		h	φ .0-
Revenues Fotal revenues	\$ 53.8	\$ 68.4 68.4	\$ 77.8	\$ 89.8 89.8	\$ 135.4	\$ 162.5	\$ 189 189
total revenues	53.8	08.4	77.8	89.8	135.4	162.5	189
Cost of revenues							
Cost of sales	94.8	88.5	101.0	71.4	84.0	90.0	96
Total cost of revenues	94.8	88.5	101.0	71.4	84.0	90.0	91
Gross (loss) profit	(41.0)	(20.1)	(23.2)	18.4	51.4	72.5	93
Operating expenses							
Exploratory expenses	14.3	16.4	39.3	4.9	5.0	5.0	
DD&A	21.6	97.2	58.1	67.9	81.2	89.4	9
G&A	14.3	15.9	13.8	13.3	14.0	14.0	1
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	2.2	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	_
Impairment of O&G properties		261.2	0.6	78.7	-	-	
Loss on settlement of asset retirement obligations	_	3.7	0.2		_	_	
otal operating expenses	52.4	396.3	113.8	166.7	102.2	110.3	11
ncome (loss) from operations	(93.3)	(416.4)	(137.0)	(148.3)	(50.7)	(37.8)	(2
other income (expense)							
Currency transaction gain	1.8	2.5	15.7	3.5	- \	- \	
Interest expense	(4.4)	(18.0)	(21.9)	(37.1)	(20.0)	(20.0)	(2
Other income/(expense)	(0.4)	- (17.7)	- ((a)	2.2	- (22.2)	- (22.2)	(0
otal other income (expense)	(3.0)	(15.5)	(6.3)	(31.4)	(20.0)	(20.0)	(2
re-tax income (loss)	(96.3)	(431.9)	(143.2)	(179.7)	(70.7)	(57.8)	(4
Provision for taxes (benefit)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net loss (income) noncontrolling interest	0.2	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.4	
Net income (loss)	\$ (96.1)	\$ (430.9)	\$ (142.4)	\$ (179.0)	(70.3)	(57.4)	(4
	+ ()-1-)	+ (40-1))	+ (-4-14)	+ (-//-/	(7-10)	(0) -47	(1
Basic EPS (loss) Diluted EPS (loss)	\$ (0.49) \$ (0.49)	\$ (2.04) \$ (2.04)	\$ (0.67) \$ (0.67)	\$ (0.84) \$ (0.84)	\$ (0.33) \$ (0.33)	\$ (0.27) \$ (0.27)	\$ (o. \$ (o.
Pagia ahayaa aytatay dina	1045	011.6	010.0	010.0	014.4	0144	0.1
asic shares outstanding viluted shares outstanding	194.7	211.6	212.3	213.3	214.4	214.4	21
diuted shares outstanding		0116		010.0	0144	0144	0.
Ŭ	194.7	211.6	212.3	213.3	214.4	214.4	2
· ·	194.7 (69.6)	211.6 (56.1)		213.3 0.2	214.4 32.4		
BITDA			212.3 (43.4)	0.2		53.5	7
BITDA djusted EBITDA	(69.6)	(56.1)	212.3		32.4		7
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis	(69.6) (66.5)	(56.1) (51.1)	(43.4) (40.5)	0.2	32.4 36.4	53.5 57.5	5
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin	(69.6) (66.5)	(56.1) (51.1)	212.3 (43.4) (40.5)	0.2 3.4	32.4 36.4 38.0%	53.5 57.5 44.6%	49
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses	(69.6) (66.5) -76.1% 26.5%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1%	49
BITDA djusted EBITDA fargin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0%	49 2 50
BITDA djusted EBITDA Iargin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6%	49 2 50
BITDA djusted EBITDA Iargin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin	(69.6) (66.5) -76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 142.0% -608.6%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3%	49 2 50 7
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9%	49 2 50 7 -1 38
BITDA djusted EBITDA Iargin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6%	49 50 7 -1 33 -2:
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4%	32.4 36.4 37.7 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3%	49 2 50 5 7 -1 39 -2:
BITDA djusted EBITDA fargin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin et income margin ax rate	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2%	32.4 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6%	49 2 50 7 -1: 39 -2:
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin ax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0%	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0%	32.4 36.4 37% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0%	49 2 50 7 -1: 39 -2: -22
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin et income margin ax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y otal revenues	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -0.0%	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% -32.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0%	32.4 36.4 37.7 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0%	49 2 50 7 -1: 39 -2: -2:
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin ax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y otal revenues otal cost of revenues	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -0.0%	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0%	38.0% 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0%	49 2 50 7 -1: 33 -2: -2: 0
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis Pross margin exploratory expenses D&A BITDA BITDA Margin Margin BITDA BITDA Margin Marate Margin Margi	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5%	38.0% 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7% 2.1%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 2.1%	49 2 50 7 -1: 33 -22 -22 0
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin ax rate Crowth Rate Analysis Y/Y otal revenues otal cost of revenues xploratory expenses D&A	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9%	38.0% 36.4 38.0% 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 2.1% 31.6%	49 50 50 7 -1 39 -2: -22 0
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis tross margin xploratory expenses D&A &A BA BITDA margin perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin ax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y otal revenues otal cost of revenues xploratory expenses D&A &A &	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 11.1%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% -13.4%	0.2 3.4 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6%	32.4 36.4 37% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 5.5%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 31.6% 5.5%	49 2 50 7 -1: 39 -2: -2: -2: 0
BITDA djusted EBITDA Margin Analysis ross margin rxploratory expenses D&A &A Perating margin BITDA margin re-tax margin fet income margin ax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y otal revenues otal cost of revenues exploratory expenses DD&A &A DD&A &A DDRA DDRA DDRA DEPARTMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 350.1% -346.2%	212.3 (43.4) (40.5) -29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% 67.1%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6% -8.3%	32.4 36.4 37.7 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 5.5% 65.8%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 2.1% 31.6% 5.5% 74.5%	499 2 500 7 -1: 399 -2: -222 -223 0
Adargin Analysis Aross margin Exploratory expenses D&A E&A Operating margin Esti income margin For tax margin F	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% -23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 11.1% -346.2% 19.3%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% -13.4% 67.1% 22.6%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6% -8.3% 100.4%	32.4 36.4 36.4 3.7% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 5.5.5% 65.8% 16782.8%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 31.6% 5.5% 74.5% 27763.5%	77 77 49 29 50 77 -11 39 -22 -22 0 111 34 3 5 8 8 8 8 88 38744
Adjusted EBITDA Margin Analysis Bross margin Exploratory expenses D&A EA	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 11.1% -346.2% 19.3% -348.5%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% -13.4% 67.1% 22.6% 66.8%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6% -8.3% 100.4%	32.4 36.4 37% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 5.5% 65.8% 16782.8% 60.6%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 21.1% 31.6% 5.5% 74.5% 74.5% 67.8%	77 77 49 2 500 7 -111 39 -22 -22 0 111 34 4 35 5 88 38744
Margin Analysis Gross margin Exploratory expenses D&A B&A Deparating margin Extra margin Det income margin Extra margin The tax margin The ta	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	-29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 11.1% -346.2% 19.3% -348.5% -348.6%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% -13.4% 67.1% 22.6% 66.8% 67.0%	0.2 3.4 20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% 0.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6% -8.3% 100.4% -25.4% -25.7%	32.4 36.4 37% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 50.9% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 65.8% 16782.8% 60.6%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 2.1% 31.6% 5.5% 74.5% 27763.5% 67.8% 67.9%	21 7 7 7 49 2 50 7 -11 39 -22 0 111 34 2 39 5 8 8 8 8 8 76 76
EBITDA Adjusted EBITDA Margin Analysis Gross margin Exploratory expenses DD&A G&A Operating margin EBITDA margin Pre-tax margin Net income margin Fax rate Growth Rate Analysis Y/Y Fotal revenues Fotal cost of revenues Exploratory expenses DD&A G&A Operating margin EBITDA Pre-tax income Net income EPS - fully diluted Share count - fully diluted	-76.1% 26.5% 40.1% 26.6% -173.3% -129.2% -178.9% -178.4% 0.0% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	(56.1) (51.1) -29.4% 24.0% 142.0% 23.2% -608.6% -82.0% -631.2% -629.8% 0.0% 27.1% -6.6% 15.1% 350.1% 11.1% -346.2% 19.3% -348.5%	-29.8% 50.5% 74.6% 17.7% -176.0% -55.8% -184.1% -183.0% 0.0% 13.7% 14.1% 138.9% -40.3% -13.4% 67.1% 22.6% 66.8%	20.5% 5.5% 75.6% 14.8% -165.2% -200.2% -199.4% 0.0% 15.3% -29.3% -87.5% 16.9% -3.6% -8.3% 100.4%	32.4 36.4 37% 60.0% 10.3% -37.5% 23.9% -52.2% -51.9% 0.0% 17.7% 2.1% 19.7% 5.5% 65.8% 16782.8% 60.6%	53.5 57.5 44.6% 3.1% 55.0% 8.6% -23.3% 32.9% -35.6% -35.3% 0.0% 81.1% 26.1% 21.1% 31.6% 5.5% 74.5% 74.5% 67.8%	4 5 3 -2 -2 -2 11 3 3 3 8 3874

Source: Company Reports, Stonegate Capital Partners estimates



EARNINGS ESTIMATES AND VALUATION

As last reported, the Company had cash on hand of \$10.7M, as well as restricted cash of \$12.6M, no preferred stock and limited dilution potential from outstanding options and warrants (approximately 4M shares total). However, ERN reported a working capital deficit of \$280.7 million as of 6/30/17 and has not been able to generate sufficient cash from operations to satisfy certain obligations as they are coming due. The Company is currently operating as a going concern, and management is pursuing various options to alleviate its current capital deficit situation. On the Company's Q2 2017 earnings call, management reported recent progress with both lender discussions as well as vendor negotiations, noting a reduction in A/P by over \$25M in the last two months.

Exhibit 8: Capital Structure Details as of Q2 2017

Description	Туре	Principal Due (USD)	Coupon/Base Rate	Floating Rate	Maturity	Seniority	Secured	Convertible
Borrowing Facility with Allied in The Form of a Convertible Note	Bonds and Notes	48.5	NA	LIBOR + 5.000%	Apr-01-2018	Senior	No	Convertible
Convertible Subordinated Note	Bonds and Notes	50.0	NA	1-Month LIBOR + 5.000%	-	Subordinated	No	Convertible
Pre Export Finance Facility Agreement with The Mauritius Commercial Bank Limited	Term Loans	24.4	NA	3-Month LIBOR + 6.000%	Dec-31-2019	Senior	Yes	No
Promissory Note	Bonds and Notes	6.4	NA	1-Month LIBOR + 7.000%	Apr-01-2018	Senior	No	No
Promissory Note Loan	Term Loans	24.9	NA	1-Month LIBOR + 2.000%	Apr-01-2018	Senior	Yes	Convertible
Term Loan Facility with Zenith Bank PLC	Term Loans	86.9	9.000%	LIBOR	Feb-01-2021	Senior	Yes	No

Source: Capital IQ, Stonegate Capital Partners

As we look at current as well as future production potential, in addition to its present cash flow from Oyo-8, Erin has the following near-term opportunities to consider.

Exhibit 9: Near-term Opportunities in Nigeria

Well/Prospect	Current Production	Est. Production	Total Resources	Capital Requirements	Timing
Oyo-7	-	1,200 - 2,000 BOPD	-	Funded	2H 2017
Oyo-8	5,000 - 6,000 BOPD	-	-	N/A	N/A
Oyo-9	-	6,000 - 7,000 BOPD	-	Funded	2H 2017
Oyo NW	-	-	1.1B Bbls Total	\$40M	Late 2017
G Propect	-	-	239M Bbls Total	\$26M	Late 2017

Source: Company Reports, Stonegate Capital Partners



For our 2017 estimates, we have made certain assumptions.

- We assume that production from Oyo-8 remains rather steady, and that no other wells come on-line in this fiscal year, resulting in top line revenues of approximately \$89.8M.
- We assume that exploratory expense levels are similar to recent quarters' activity, and that overhead expenses hold fairly steady.
- We do note that in Q2 2017, ERN recognize an impairment charge of approximately \$78.7M, of which approximately \$78.1 million was to write down the carrying value of oil and gas properties to estimated fair market value and \$0.6 million was to write-off the carrying value of onshore leases in Kenya, which had expired.
- As work is progresses and is completed on wells Oyo-9 and Oyo-7 by year-end, we assume that ERN will draw the full \$100M on the line with The Mauritius Commercial Bank, Ltd.

With these basic assumptions, we estimate that Erin Energy could report slightly positive EBITDA in FY17.

To frame the potential for FY 2018, we have created three scenarios driven by different levels of production for ERN.

- We assume that Oyo-9 and Oyo-7 come into play January 1.
- Management estimates that production should more than double, increasing from 5,000/6,000 BOPD to over 12,000 BOPD, and thus we have selected this metric to drive our mid-case scenario (with 10K BOPD used for "low", 12K BOPD used for "mid", and 14K used for "high").
- Scheduled liftings drive the recognition of sales, but on an annual basis this activity should not have such an affect on the top line as it can quarter-over-quarter.
- We note that all of the Oyo Field assets can be tied back to current facilities, so bringing these two additional wells on-line adds minimally to fixed production costs.
- Again, we assume that exploratory expense levels are similar to prior year's activity, and that overhead expenses hold fairly steady Y-O-Y.

All of these assumptions factored into our mid-case scenario result in excess of \$50M EBITDA for the Company in FY2018.

To calculate a potential valuation for ERN, we utilize a precedent transactions approach, considering the current opportunities offered by the Company's 2P and 2C reserves. For these, average prices/boe for 2016 transaction valuations (specific to Africa) were utilized. For Potential Prospective reserves, where considered, we assigned a conservative value \$0.50, of less than 1% of the \$53/barrel average forecasted for 2017 for Brent crude oil.

Exhibit 10: Prices per BOE Selected for Valuation As A Percentage of 2017 Forecasted P/BBL

	Assigned Price/Boe	% of 2017 Forecasted Avg.
2P	\$12.00	22.6%
2C Certified	\$3.00	5.7%
2C Uncertified	\$2.00	3.8%
Potential Prospective	\$0.50	0.9%

Source: Capital IQ, Moyes & Co., Stonegate Capital Partners



Based on the detail above, we applied this pricing to ERN's reserves most recently reported in Nigeria, The Gambia, and Ghana. We chose to only assign a value to the Potential Prospective reserves at Oyo NW and The Gambia, given the third-party sourcing of information. At this time, no value has been given to reserves at G Prospect/Downthrown, Ereng, Ewo Deep or P Prospect.

Exhibit 11: ERN Valuation

	Reserve	Category	Pricing/boe	ERN Interest	Total
Nigeria					
Oyo	16.5M	2P	\$12.00	100%	\$198,000,000
Oyo	43M	2C Uncertified	\$2.00	100%	\$86,000,000
Oyo NW	1.1B*	Potential Prospective	\$0.50	100%	\$550,000,000
G Prospect/Downthrown	239M	Potential Prospective	-	100%	-
Ereng	1.7B	Potential Prospective	-	100%	-
Ewo Deep	340M	Potential Prospective	-	100%	-
P Prospect	253M	Potential Prospective	-		_
					\$834,000,000
The Gambia					
	1B**	Potential Prospective	\$0.50	20%	\$100,000,000
Ghana					
	16.2M	2C Certified	\$3.00	30%	\$14,580,000
	25.2M	2C Uncertified	\$2.00	30%	\$15,120,000
					\$29,700,000
Implied Firm Value					\$963,700,000
Shares Outstanding					213,400,000
Per Share Value					\$4.52
Debt Per Share					(\$1.09)
Net Value					\$3.43

^{*}Based on Ikon Sciences Study

Source: Company Reports, DeGolyer & MacNaughton, Stonegate Capital Partners

^{**}Per FAR, Ltd. press release



CONCLUSION

ERN currently trades at \$2.55, leaving significant upside for investors willing to assume above-average risk in exchange for significant appreciation potential as the Company and its partners ramp production over the next 12-18 months, and if additional funding is obtained for two additional wells, bringing Oyo NW and G Prospect into play, the above valuation could quickly become considerably understated.

In summary, we present the diagram below of both near-term and long-term milestones that will be catalysts to the ERN share price.

Exhibit 12: ERN Milestones

	Nigeria	Ghana	Gambia	Kenya / M&A / Other
Near Term (2017)	- Increase Production - Stabilise Cash Flow - Drill & Complete Oyo-9 - Drill G Prospect* - Drill Oyo-NW Prospect*	Await resolution of boundary issue Explore option to improve development economics Plan 3D seismic acquisition	Interpreting new 3D seismic Secured Farm-out partner	Explore portfolio options in Kenya Farm down interest Convert debt to equity Launch Equity Raise
Medium Term (2018-19)	Drill Oyo-10 to maintain production Explore G Prospect tie back & appraisal Tie-back of Oyo-NW early production wells Further exploration of Ereng and Oyo-West Prospects	Seismic acquisition, processing & interpretation Consider development decision Assess exploration potential Appraisal of fields	- High grade prospect inventory - 1st exploration well (non-operating)	Kenya Carried interest Explore M&A Opportunities Reduce debt Increase liquidity
Long Term (2020 +)	Drill Oyo-11 to maintain production Explore tie in of Near Field G Field development Oyo-NW, Ereng and Oyo-West Field Development	Develop 3 discovered Tano Fields Drill further exploration wells	Appraisal drilling Plan of development / FEED	Execute on M&A strategy Significantly reduce net debt Increase equity & liquidity Manage accounts payable

 $^{{}^*}With\ capital\ raise$

Source: Company Reports



CORPORATE TIMELINE

August 2017

Pacific Bora arrives at Oyo Field, ready to commence drilling of Oyo-9

March 2017

Announces farm-out deal with FAR for Gambia blocks

February 2017

Secures \$100 million debt financing

June 2015

Commences production from the Oyo-7 well

April 2015

Changes name from CAMAC Energy, Inc. to Erin Energy Corporation

September 2014

Secures \$100 million credit facility

August 2014

Drills the Oyo-8 development well offshore Nigeria and commences redevelopment of the Oyo Field

February 2014

Closes the PIC transaction (\$270 million equity investment)

February 2014

Acquires remaining interest in OMLs 120 & 121 from Allied resulting in 100% interest and operatorship

February 2014

Begins trading on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE)

October 2013

Successfully confirms the presence of hydrocarbons in the Miocene formation in Nigeria

May 2010

Completes public transaction with Pacific Asia Petroleum, Inc. and changes name to CAMAC Energy, Inc.

November 2009

Begins trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)

July 1995

Makes oil discovery in OPL 210, and the Oyo Field becomes the first deepwater Nigeria discovery

1991

Company partners with CONOCO on four licenses offshore Nigeria

1986

Cameroon-American Company ("CAMAC") founded as a privately held agricultural commodities trading company

ERIN ENERGY GOVERNANCE

Femi Ayoade — **Chief Executive Officer** - Mr. Ayoade joined the Erin Energy as technical manager in September 2011 and most recently served as Production Operations and Country Manager, Nigeria. His previous experience includes serving as technical manager of Allied Energy Plc, where he was involved at the management level in drilling, petroleum engineering and project/petroleum operations. Mr. Ayoade attended the Petroleum Training Institute of Nigeria, where he earned a HND degree in petroleum engineering. He earned an MBA degree in petroleum engineering from the University of Houston.

TBD - Chief Financial Officer – The Company is currently searching for a new CFO.

Heidi Wong - Senior Vice President, Corporate Services - Ms. Wong has been with the Company since 2008. In addition to her current role as Senior Vice President, she has served as VP of Business Planning and Strategy and Managing Director of the Company's China operations. Her previous experience includes working in business development, governmental liaison and commercial affairs in the Chinese energy market with Texaco and Chevron. Ms. Wong has an MBA degree from Wuhan University.

Carl Scharpf - Vice President, Geosciences and Exploration — Mr. Scharpf joined Erin Energy in 2015. He has 26 years of global experience in the oil and gas industry. Prior to joining Erin, Mr. Scharpf was the Offshore Gulf of Mexico Exploration Manager for Apache. He served in exploration management positions for Murphy Oil and for Marathon Oil in Indonesia. In addition, he held management and technical positions at Burlington Resources, Union Texas Petroleum and Amoco. Mr. Scharpf earned a BS degree in geology from Rider University and an MS degree in geology from the University of Cincinnati.

Ojay Uzoh - Vice President, Technical - Mr. Uzoh joined Erin Energy in 2016. He has over 29 years of engineering experience in the oil and gas industry, spanning five continents. His previous experience includes serving in various production roles for 20 years at Shell International E&P and Shell Oil U.S. Before joining ERN, he was a technical consultant to upstream oil and gas companies on deepwater business development, and shale gas development workflows and technologies. He was also a technical advisor to a hedge fund facilitating global oil and gas transactions. Mr. Uzoh has an MBA degree in petroleum engineering from University of Houston.

Olu Marinho - Vice President, Engineering Projects — Mr. Marinho has over 20 years of global experience in the oil and gas sector. His experience includes planning and executing multi-billion dollar projects for offshore deep-water applications in Nigeria, Angola and the Republic of Congo. Mr. Marinho has a BS degree in civil engineering from Case Western Reserve University and an MS in structural engineering from Stanford University.

Board of Directors:

Frank Ingriselli - Independent Director, Chairman - Mr. Ingriselli serves as the President and CEO of Blackhawk Energy Ventures Inc., a position he has held since founding the company in 2016, and has more than 36 years of experience in the energy industry with wide ranging oil and natural gas exploration and production company experience in diverse geographies, business climates and political environments. Mr. Ingriselli was the founder in 2011 of PEDEVCO Corp., a NYSE MKT listed company, and the founder of Pacific Asia Petroleum, Inc. in 2005 (Erin Energy's predecessor entity), and he has recently returned to Erin to serve as Board Chairman. Mr. Ingriselli graduated from Boston University in 1975 with a BS in Business Administration. He also earned his MBA from New York University in both Finance and International Finance and his JD degree from Fordham University School of Law.

Mahmud Ahmed – Independent Director

Lee P. Brown – Independent Director

John Rudley - Independent Director

Femi Ayoade – Director

Dudu Hlatshwayo – Independent Director

Mike Stinson – Independent Director



IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES AND DISCLAIMERS

The following disclosures are related to Stonegate Capital Partners (SCP) research reports.

ANALYST DISCLOSURES

I, Laura S. Engel, CPA, hereby certify that the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect my personal views about the subject securities and issuers. I also certify that no part of my compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the recommendations or views expressed in this research report. I believe the information used for the creation of this report has been obtained from sources I considered to be reliable, but I can neither guarantee nor represent the completeness or accuracy of the information included. Such information and the opinions expressed are subject to change without notice.

INVESTMENT BANKING, REFERRALS, AND FEES FOR SERVICE

SCP does not provide nor has it received compensation for investment banking services on the securities covered in this report. SCP does not expect to receive compensation for investment banking services on the securities covered in this report. SCP has a non-exclusive Advisory Services agreement to provide research coverage, retail and institutional awareness, and overall Investor Relations support for which it is compensated \$6,000 per month. Stonegate Capital Markets (SCM) is an affiliate of SCP and a member of FINRA/SIPC. SCM may seek to receive future compensation for investment banking or other business relationships with the covered companies mentioned in this report. In certain instances, SCP has contracted with SCM to produce research reports for its client companies.

POLICY DISCLOSURES

SCP analysts are restricted from holding or trading securities in the issuers that they cover. SCP and SCM do not make a market in any security nor do they act as dealers in securities. Each SCP analyst has full discretion on the content and valuation discussion based on his or her own due diligence. Analysts are paid in part based on the overall profitability of SCP. Such profitability is derived from a variety of sources and includes payments received from issuers of securities covered by SCP for services described above. No part of analyst compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in any report or article. No employee of SCP serves on the Company's Board of Directors. Research analyst and/or a member of the analyst's household do not own shares of this security. Research analyst and/or a member of the analyst's household do not serve as an officer, director, or advisory board member of the Company. This security is eligible for sale in one or more states. This security is subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission's Penny Stock Rules, which may set forth sales practice requirements for certain low-priced securities. SCP or its affiliates do not beneficially own 1% or more of an equity security of the Company. SCP does not have other actual, material conflicts of interest in the securities of the Company.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Please note that this report was originally prepared and issued by SCP for distribution to their market professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not market professional or institutional investor customers of SCP should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision based on this report or for any necessary explanation of its contents. The information contained herein is based on sources that the Company believes to be reliable, but it is not necessarily complete, and its accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Because the objectives of individual clients may vary, this report is not to be construed as an offer or the solicitation of an offer to sell or buy the securities herein mentioned. This report is the independent work of SCP and is not to be construed as having been issued by, or in any way endorsed or guaranteed by, any issuing companies of the securities mentioned herein. The firm and/or its employees and/or its individual shareholders and/or members of their families and/or its managed funds may have positions or warrants in the securities mentioned and, before or after your receipt of this report, may make or recommend purchases and/or sales for their own accounts or for the accounts of other customers of the firm from time to time in the open market or otherwise. While SCP endeavors to update the information contained herein on a reasonable basis, there may be regulatory, compliance, or other reasons that prevent us from doing so. The opinions or information expressed are believed to be accurate as of the date of this report; no subsequent publication or distribution of this report shall mean or imply that any such opinions or information remains current at any time after the date of this report. All opinions are subject to change without notice, and SCP does not undertake to advise you of any such changes. Reproduction or redistribution of this report without the expressed written consent of SCP is prohibited. Additional information on any securities mentioned is available on request.

RATING & RECOMMENDATION

SCP does not rate the securities covered in its research. SCP does not have, nor has previously had, a rating for any securities of the Company. SCP does not have a price target for any securities of the Company.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Erin Energy Corp. Femi Ayoade, CEO 1330 Post Oak Boulevard Suite 2250 Houston, Texas 77056 Phone: 710 707 80 40

Phone: 713 797 2940 www.erinenergy.com Investor Relations Stonegate Capital Partners 8201 Preston Road Suite 325 Dallas, Texas 75225 Phone: 214-987-4121

www.stonegateinc.com